# CHIPP – Computing Board Issues

#### remarks on

- Evolution of organisation
- •

Christoph Grab
ETH Zürich











## Introduction (1)

- CHIPP, the Swiss LHC institutions, and CSCS have a long standing successful cooperation, based on consensus driven solutions.
  - > CHIPP has been able to deliver the pledges to WLCG for the experiments as required, and CSCS has delivered resources to CHIPP as agreed in MoU.
- Common strategies by all experiments and CSCS have proven to be efficient means.
- Coordination of all activities has been handled through the CHIPP computing board.
  - >> I propose a small change to the coordination

# Organisation for CHIPP computing - now

## CHIPP computing board "CCB":

- Consists of 1-2 representatives of the experiments and institutions, and representatives of CSCS;
  - may invite additional persons for technical advice eg. from CSCS.
- Meets F2F 2-3 times a year CSCS; AND ~biweely operation meetings (VC); further minor issues dealt with by email or by direct discussions.
- Deals with all issues concerned with computing for the LHC on Swiss scale discusses and decides on the Tier-2 level for CSCS, and advises for Tier-3 level on:
  - all technical issues for operation
  - compute resource provisioning
  - strategic issues for
  - Political issues ...
- However: some very important issues are upcoming to be decided upon concerning our long term strategy, where it seems appropriate to be discussed on a higher level.

# Organisation for CHIPP computing: proposal

### CHIPP computing board "CCB" as now:

- > Consists of representatives of experiments, institutions and CSCS.
- **>**
- Decides on all operational and technical issues on Tier-2 level, common to all LHC experiments

## Propose: new CHIPP computing steering board "CCSB":

- Consists of the PI-representatives of the institutions (i.e. FLARE LHC computing grant holders)
- > **Decides** on all strategic and political issues, e.g.
  - Strategy for long term evolution of computing model
  - Re-distribution of resources among experiments
  - Strategy for negotiation of contracts between CHIPP, CSCS/ETHZ, etc.
  - Strategy for communication with SNF, FLARE (LA), SANW, ...
  - Strategy for opening up CHIPP resources to other VO (communities)
- > Relies on advisory input of regular computing board for technical issues
- Meetings called as needed by CCB chair (F2F or VC)

# **CHIPP** computing board

Computing board coordinates the tier-2 and links to tier-3 activities; Membership includes representatives of institutions and LHC experiments, of CSCS and of CH-tier-3 experts.

#### Computing board



G.Sciacca (UNI Bern)
Luis M.Ruiz (UNI Genf)



C.Grab (ETHZ) chair CCB

J.Pata → T. Kljinsmaa (ETHZ)

D.Feichtinger vice-chair (PSI) N.Loktionova (PSI)



R.Bernet (UNIZH)

S.Trourneur, (EPFL)



P.Fernandez, M.Gila, M. De Lorenzi (CSCS)

# CHIPP computing + steering boards

Computing board coordinates the tier-2 and links to tier-3 activities; Membership includes representatives of institutions and LHC experiments, of CSCS and of CH-tier-3 experts.

#### **Computing board CCB**









G.Sciacca (UNI Bern)
Luis M.Ruiz (UNI Genf)

C.Grab (ETHZ) chair CCB

J.Pata → T. Kljinsmaa (ETHZ)

D.Feichtinger vice-chair (PSI) N.Loktionova (PSI)

R.Bernet (UNIZH) S.Trourneur, (EPFL)

P.Fernandez, M.Gila, M. De Lorenzi (CSCS)

#### **Steering board CCSB**

M.Weber T.Golling,

C.Grab D.Kotlinski F.Canelli

U.Straumann A.Bay

CSCS rep

CHEPP EB rep

# Comments about modus operandi

## Compute models (CM) of experiments have evolved a lot:

- ► LHCb: started out with MC-production only at CSCS, moved to use CH-CHIPP-LCG as "data centric T2" → higher storage demand
- ATLAS complemented the CSCS site with AEC-LHEP at Bern, strengthening the CH-ATLAS federation with substantial resources.
  - ... ATLAS adapted a new CM of few "nucleus data centres" and many lean "satellite centres": ATLAS wants to have Swiss T2 as "nucleus centre".
- > CMS sofar evolved the CM; in Q1/17 setup a new inititative ECoM17 to re-evaluate CM; will report in April 17.

## Mode of operation therefore differs between experiments:

We need to become more creative and economical and optimise the use of our limited resources...

## Strategic and Operational issues (1)

- Provisioning computing resources to LHC experiments:
  - Present LHC compute models do not scale beyond 2020.
    CERN+experiments are active; e.g. "Scientific Computing Forum".
  - > Strategy on Swiss resource provisioning at CSCS two options:
    - 1) PHOENIX with dedicated HW ("separate cluster")
    - 2) Shared HPC resources at CSCS "LHConCRAY" (part of larger community) decision end 2017.
  - Present splitting of resources between ATLAS:CMS:LHCb in CH; needs to be re-assessed and adapted to developments.
  - Overall support model needs re-adaptation to experiments compute model.
  - What is the optimal way to invest and operate?
    - based on FLAT budget by FLARE/SNF, and ETHZ+Uni contributions
  - What about other communities outside HEP?

To be discussed in a new CCSB

## Next steps

- Decide on new computing steering board:
  - > We'll draft a mandate for this board and distribute it
  - > Send input to CHIPP EB or C.Grab and M.Türler
  - → Can we have a decision/vote at the next CHIPP board?

- Still in 2017 need to address those issues mentioned above
  - strategy LHConCRAY
  - > implementations of adequate CM+support model for all VOs
  - > optimize the resource splitting among experiments
  - >